

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER

☐

DATE

October 10, 2018

MOTOR CARRIER MATTER

☐

DOCKET NO.

2018-124-E

UTILITIES MATTER

☒

ORDER NO.

SUBJECT:

DOCKET NO. 2018-124-E - Alex, Complainant/Petitioner v. Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Defendant/Respondent - Staff Presents for Commission Consideration Alex's Petition for Reconsideration.

COMMISSION ACTION:

Move that we deny the Petition for Reconsideration. The Petition has not provided sufficient reason for the Commission to take action differing from our ruling in Commission Order No. 2018-625. As the Commission said then: the issues the Complainant has raised related to the use of AMI meters do not constitute a claim for a violation of Commission Rules and Regulations nor South Carolina law. I would note the Company does offer an alternative that would allow the Complainant to have a non-communicating meter service at his address.

For these reasons, Mr. Chairman, I move that we deny the Petition for Reconsideration in this docket.

PRESIDING: RandallSESSION: Regular

TIME: 2:00 p.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
ELAM	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ERVIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RANDALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
WILLIAMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

